


When should Wisconsin end its "Safer At Home" policy?



REGISTERED VS NON-REGISTERED

	A	B	C
Registered Voters (96)	27.1% (26)	40.6% (39)	32.3% (31)
Non-Registered Voters (38)	26.3% (10)	39.5% (15)	34.2% (13)

ALL RESPONDENTS

	A	B	C
All respondents (134)	27.0% (36)	40.0% (54)	33.0% (44)
Registered Voters in Wisconsin Newspaper Association (96)	27.1% (26)	40.6% (39)	32.3% (31)
Live in Wisconsin Newspaper Association (132) - Self-reported	27.3% (36)	40.9% (54)	31.8% (42)
Subscribers to Wisconsin Newspaper Association (134)	26.9% (36)	40.3% (54)	32.8% (44)
Register respondents from anywhere (97)	27.0% (26)	40.0% (39)	33.0% (32)

When should Wisconsin end its "Safer At Home" policy?

A End it immediately **B** May 26 is a good end date **C** Extend it beyond May 26

AGE RANGE				97 REGISTERED VOTERS
	A	B	C	
18-29 (1)	-	100.0% (1)	-	
30-39 (5)	20.0% (1)	20.0% (1)	60.0% (3)	
40-49 (9)	22.2% (2)	33.3% (3)	44.4% (4)	
50-59 (21)	42.9% (9)	38.1% (8)	19.0% (4)	
60-69 (28)	28.6% (8)	35.7% (10)	35.7% (10)	
70-79 (14)	35.7% (5)	35.7% (5)	28.6% (4)	
80-89 (2)	-	50.0% (1)	50.0% (1)	
90-99 (1)	-	100.0% (1)	-	
unknown (16)	6.3% (1)	56.3% (9)	37.5% (6)	

VOTERS GENDER				97 REGISTERED VOTERS
	A	B	C	
F (37)	21.6% (8)	37.8% (14)	40.5% (15)	
M (59)	30.5% (18)	42.4% (25)	27.1% (16)	
Unknown (1)	-	-	100.0% (1)	

COUNTY				97 REGISTERED VOTERS
	A	B	C	
BAYFIELD (1)	-	100.0% (1)	-	
COLUMBIA (1)	-	100.0% (1)	-	
DANE (25)	12.0% (3)	52.0% (13)	36.0% (9)	
DODGE (2)	-	100.0% (2)	-	
DOOR (1)	-	100.0% (1)	-	
DOUGLAS (1)	-	-	100.0% (1)	
EAU CLAIRE (2)	-	100.0% (2)	-	
GRANT (1)	-	100.0% (1)	-	
JACKSON (1)	100.0% (1)	-	-	
JEFFERSON (4)	50.0% (2)	25.0% (1)	25.0% (1)	
KEWAUNEE (3)	33.3% (1)	66.7% (2)	-	
LACROSSE (1)	100.0% (1)	-	-	
MILWAUKEE (5)	-	40.0% (2)	60.0% (3)	
ORANGE (1)	-	-	100.0% (1)	
OUTAGAMIE (3)	33.3% (1)	66.7% (2)	-	
PIERCE (1)	-	100.0% (1)	-	
POLK (1)	100.0% (1)	-	-	
PORTAGE (1)	-	-	100.0% (1)	
RACINE (3)	66.7% (2)	33.3% (1)	-	
ROCK (13)	38.5% (5)	30.8% (4)	30.8% (4)	
RUSK (1)	100.0% (1)	-	-	
ST CROIX (9)	33.3% (3)	22.2% (2)	44.4% (4)	
WALWORTH (2)	-	-	100.0% (2)	
WAUKESHA (3)	100.0% (3)	-	-	
WAUPACA (1)	-	-	100.0% (1)	
WINNEBAGO (10)	20.0% (2)	30.0% (3)	50.0% (5)	

2011 NEW STATE HOUSE DISTRICT				97 REGISTERED VOTERS
	A	B	C	
1 (4)	25.0% (1)	75.0% (3)	-	
13 (1)	-	-	100.0% (1)	

14 (1)	-	100.0% (1)	-
19 (2)	-	50.0% (1)	50.0% (1)
20 (1)	-	-	100.0% (1)
28 (1)	-	-	100.0% (1)
29 (2)	50.0% (1)	-	50.0% (1)
30 (6)	33.3% (2)	33.3% (2)	33.3% (2)
31 (2)	50.0% (1)	50.0% (1)	-
32 (3)	33.3% (1)	-	66.7% (2)
33 (3)	66.7% (2)	33.3% (1)	-
38 (1)	-	-	100.0% (1)
39 (2)	-	100.0% (2)	-
40 (2)	-	-	100.0% (2)
42 (1)	-	100.0% (1)	-
43 (2)	50.0% (1)	-	50.0% (1)
44 (7)	42.9% (3)	28.6% (2)	28.6% (2)
45 (2)	-	50.0% (1)	50.0% (1)
46 (3)	33.3% (1)	33.3% (1)	33.3% (1)
47 (2)	-	100.0% (2)	-
48 (1)	-	100.0% (1)	-
49 (1)	-	100.0% (1)	-
53 (2)	50.0% (1)	-	50.0% (1)
54 (7)	14.3% (1)	42.9% (3)	42.9% (3)
55 (1)	-	-	100.0% (1)
56 (2)	50.0% (1)	50.0% (1)	-
57 (1)	-	100.0% (1)	-
63 (1)	-	100.0% (1)	-
64 (1)	100.0% (1)	-	-
71 (1)	-	-	100.0% (1)
73 (1)	-	-	100.0% (1)
74 (1)	-	100.0% (1)	-
75 (1)	100.0% (1)	-	-
76 (2)	-	50.0% (1)	50.0% (1)
77 (2)	-	50.0% (1)	50.0% (1)
78 (9)	-	33.3% (3)	66.7% (6)
79 (5)	40.0% (2)	60.0% (3)	-
80 (1)	-	100.0% (1)	-
83 (1)	100.0% (1)	-	-
87 (1)	100.0% (1)	-	-
91 (1)	-	100.0% (1)	-
92 (1)	100.0% (1)	-	-
93 (2)	-	100.0% (2)	-
95 (1)	100.0% (1)	-	-
97 (2)	100.0% (2)	-	-

2011 NEW CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT

97 REGISTERED VOTERS

	A	B	C
1 (16)	56.3% (9)	18.8% (3)	25.0% (4)
2 (29)	10.3% (3)	51.7% (15)	37.9% (11)
3 (6)	16.7% (1)	66.7% (4)	16.7% (1)
4 (4)	-	25.0% (1)	75.0% (3)
5 (7)	42.9% (3)	28.6% (2)	28.6% (2)
6 (13)	15.4% (2)	46.2% (6)	38.5% (5)
7 (14)	42.9% (6)	21.4% (3)	35.7% (5)
8 (8)	25.0% (2)	62.5% (5)	12.5% (1)

OCCUPATION INDUSTRY

97 REGISTERED VOTERS

	A	B	C
--	---	---	---

Clerical/Office (5)	-	80.0% (4)	20.0% (1)
Computer Professional (1)	100.0% (1)	-	-
Education (2)	50.0% (1)	-	50.0% (1)
Financial Services (2)	50.0% (1)	50.0% (1)	-
Management (8)	50.0% (4)	37.5% (3)	12.5% (1)
Manufacturing (3)	-	33.3% (1)	66.7% (2)
Medical (6)	33.3% (2)	16.7% (1)	50.0% (3)
Military (2)	100.0% (2)	-	-
Other (6)	33.3% (2)	33.3% (2)	33.3% (2)
Skilled Trades (3)	-	66.7% (2)	33.3% (1)
Unknown (59)	22.0% (13)	42.4% (25)	35.6% (21)

PARTIES DESCRIPTION

97 REGISTERED VOTERS

	A	B	C
Democratic (1)	-	-	100.0% (1)
Likely Democratic (36)	5.6% (2)	41.7% (15)	52.8% (19)
Likely Independent (20)	10.0% (2)	65.0% (13)	25.0% (5)
Likely Republican (40)	55.0% (22)	27.5% (11)	17.5% (7)

When should Wisconsin end its "Safer At Home" policy?

A End it immediately **B** May 26 is a good end date **C** Extend it beyond May 26



Anonymous user's Opinion

Responded **C** End it immediately

It needed to end long ago. Many people have already had the disease and over 98% are just fine afterwards. Too many people are already bankrupt and stressed out about how they are going to survive until the 26th. The blind fear of the masses of indoctrinated sheep from the cities is going to destroy this great state and for what? There is no choice between the economy or human life! That is a FALSE DILEMMA!



Anonymous user's Opinion

Responded **A** Extend it beyond May 26

Extend it if necessary beyond May 26. An arbitrary date can't be set when it comes to keeping Wisconsin and the US safe.



Anonymous user's Opinion

Responded **A** Extend it beyond May 26

The problem with dates is that it feels arbitrary. We need to see the curve and how it is in a few weeks before making a decision. I'm remembering the resurgence of the 1918 Spanish flu because of celebrations and I don't want that to occur. If anything, people should also realize we may be doing the safer at home again in the future, but for hopefully shorter intervals.



Susan Kane's Opinion

Responded **A** Extend it beyond May 26

Extend the "safer at home" policy if it appears to be needed, by observing the number of cases closer to the end of May. We don't know yet what the situation will be until closer to that date.



Anonymous user's Opinion

Responded **C** End it immediately

It's been an overreach on bad data, we've had far worse flu and other disease outbreaks with no shutdowns. The damage to the economy and society in general is far worse than the Wuhan flu.



Anonymous user's Opinion

Responded **A** Extend it beyond May 26

Setting an arbitrary date upon which to "reopen" the economy makes no sense because no one can anticipate when the pandemic will have subsided adequately to guarantee with some margin of safety that the public is no longer at risk of contracting Covid-19. When "that" happens, the powers that be can start to consider returning to normal.



Anonymous user's Opinion

Responded **B** May 26 is a good end date

I think we should continue to open up the state between now and the 26th, rather than have a hard date to "open"--continue to open businesses that provide reasonable precautions for customers and employees.



Anonymous user's Opinion

Responded **C** End it immediately

Keeping the state closed is not sustainable economically. People cannot function without income. The state cannot give everyone unemployment- with no one paying income taxes/ payroll taxes, this will become a burden to future taxpayers in a state that is still a tax hell.



Anonymous user's Opinion

Responded **C** End it immediately

This is a media created panic machine. The effect is going to be worse than the cause. Everyday we take risks in life - driving a car, dropping kids off at school, etc. The new flu isn't going away, but peoples businesses, jobs, savings are.



Anonymous user's Opinion

Responded **C** End it immediately

Without a shot being fired, the U.S. is no longer the "land of the free and the home of the brave".... the globalists have won...



Anonymous user's Opinion

Responded **C** End it immediately

Turning the entire population into a welfare state isn't a good solution to a pandemic. Statistics show that a vast majority of working age people won't die from the virus anyway. Get people informed of the risks, the preventative measures they need to use, and get them back to work.

Anonymous user's Opinion

Responded **A** Extend it beyond May 26

We need to make decisions about how best to deal with the covid-19 pandemic that are fully informed by relevant and expert public health data and analyses. No one had immunity to the covid-19 virus when it entered the human population, and this novel virus is highly contagious. We do not know who is or is not carrying covid-19 through our communities because people who are contagious often do not know they have the virus while they are contagious, and we have not done, and for quite some time will not have the capacity to do, adequate testing to determine how widely the covid-19 virus has spread. We do not know whether people who contract the covid-19 virus and recover have any immunity to re-infection. There is not yet any widely available and effective treatment for infection by the covid-19 virus. People of all ages, from infants to elderly, and with all health conditions, from triathletes to invalids, have been seriously sickened by this novel virus, and many have died. Our health care system has limited capacity to accommodate critically ill people. And the development of any vaccine for covid-19 is at best about two years into the future. Public health experts advise that in a pandemic like this a directive such as "safer-at-home" is effective at slowing the rates of disease transmission, preventing patient loads from overwhelming the health care system, and buying all of us the time necessary for medical research and treatment development. In these circumstances, reasonable protection of the public health and welfare requires taking the only effective pandemic containment action that we have now, and that is for people to stay home and save lives. Is our economy in a deep downturn right now? Are people hurting badly from that, too? Yes, certainly. But ending the "safer-at-home" directive won't fix those problems; instead, all we will get is more very sick and dying people in all our communities because more people will go about spreading the covid-19 virus throughout all our communities. To help people who are hurting badly due to the economic fallout of this covid-19 pandemic, tell Congress to take a different approach in their economic aid proposals; tell Congress to provide a monthly cash payment to every person for the duration of this pandemic, just as Canada and many countries in Europe have chosen to do. Without first knowing how widespread the covid-19 virus is in our general population and without knowing who is and who is not currently carrying the virus, we cannot make any public-health-data-informed decisions; re-opening the economy without that knowledge is re-opening the Pandora's box of pandemic. Testing of Wisconsin's general population is required in order to reasonably and responsibly assess the health and economic risks of reopening the economy. Testing of general populations that has been done elsewhere shows that the presence of the covid-19 virus in the community is much, much greater than has been detected by the testing that has so far been almost exclusively of only people with symptoms. All of the currently available public health data about the covid-19 pandemic, as analyzed and considered by public health experts, supports the conclusion that extending the "safer-at-home" directive is the best and wisest choice for protecting the public health and welfare at this time.



Anonymous user's Opinion

Responded **A** Extend it beyond May 26


Don't forget what history has taught us. The other pandemic most similar to our current COVID-19 pandemic was the Spanish Flu pandemic of 1918. There was a second wave that was more deadly than the first. With out current COVID-19 pandemic, we have not yet reached the peak of the first wave, and many people, including Republican legislators on our State of Wisconsin, have not learned from history and wish to "open up our state". This is a very dangerous and poorly thought out idea. PATIENCE!



Anonymous user's Opinion

Responded **C** End it immediately


End it now. We are all adults, and have been adequately schooled on social/physical distancing. Our state's economy cannot weather the storm until May 26 without MAJOR repercussions!

- 

Anonymous user's Opinion

Unless you live in Milwaukee, Madison, Green Bay areas the rest of the state is fine. Impose safe at home on the major cities and extend no unnecessary travel to beyond 30 miles of your LEGAL residence for all of the state. Open up the state but still keep it safe with some restrictions, travel is the biggest way this virus gets around. Start enforcing the travel ban against outta staters.


Responded C End it immediately

- 

Anonymous user's Opinion

Go with the science.


Responded B May 26 is a good end date

- 

Anonymous user's Opinion

whatever the scientists recommend works for me


Responded B May 26 is a good end date

- 

Anonymous user's Opinion

Governor Evers has been totally irrational in his eagerness to keep Wisconsin's economy stalled. His advisors, especially Andrea Palm is pulling the strings. Open Wisconsin NOW!


Responded C End it immediately

- 

Anonymous user's Opinion

No way!! Open up this state now!! So stupid!

Responded C End it immediately

- 

Jim Selchert's Opinion

This is such bullshit,we haven't even rose to 1/4 level of viral pneumonia deaths in Wisconsin

Responded C End it immediately